NMA:ALC F. #2014R00055

FILED

2015 FEB 27 PM 4: 08

U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MEN YORK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

- against -

VINCENT ASARO,

Defendant.

Cr. No. <u>14-26</u> (S-4) (ARR) (T. 18, U.S.C., §§ 894(a)(1), 981(a)(1)(C), 1962(d), 1963, 1963(a), 1963(m), 2 and 3551 et seq.; T. 21, U.S.C., § 853(p); T. 28, U.S.C., § 2461(c))

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

#### INTRODUCTION TO ALL COUNTS

At all times relevant to this Indictment, unless otherwise indicated:

#### The Enterprise

- 1. The members and associates of the Bonanno organized crime family of La Cosa Nostra constituted an "enterprise," as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1961(4), that is, a group of individuals associated in fact (hereinafter the "Bonanno crime family" and the "enterprise"). The enterprise constituted an ongoing organization whose members functioned as a continuing unit for a common purpose of achieving the objectives of the enterprise. The Bonanno crime family engaged in, and its activities affected, interstate and foreign commerce. The Bonanno crime family was an organized criminal group that operated in the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere.
- La Cosa Nostra operated through organized crime families. Five of 2. these crime families – the Bonanno, Colombo, Gambino, Genovese and Lucchese crime

families – were headquartered in New York City, and supervised criminal activity in New York, in other areas of the United States and, in some instances, in other countries. Another crime family, the DeCavalcante crime family, operated principally in New Jersey, but from time to time also in New York City.

- 3. The ruling body of La Cosa Nostra, known as the "Commission," consisted of leaders from each of the crime families. The Commission convened from time to time to decide certain issues affecting all of the crime families, such as rules governing crime family membership.
- the Bonanno crime family had a hierarchy and structure. The head of the Bonanno crime family was known as the "boss." The Bonanno crime family boss was assisted by an "underboss" and a counselor known as a "consigliere." Together, the boss, underboss and consigliere were the crime family's "administration." With the assistance of the underboss and consigliere, the boss was responsible for, among other things, setting policy and resolving disputes within and between La Cosa Nostra crime families and other criminal groups. The administration further supervised, supported, protected and disciplined the lower-ranking participants in the crime family. In return for their supervision and protection, the administration received part of the illegal earnings generated by the crime family. Members of the Bonanno crime family served in an "acting" rather than "official" capacity in the administration on occasion due to another administration member's incarceration or ill health, or for the purpose of seeking to insulate another administration member from law enforcement scrutiny. Further, on occasion, the Bonanno crime family was overseen by a "panel" of crime family members that did not include the boss, underboss and/or consigliere.

- 5. Below the administration of the Bonanno crime family were numerous "crews," also known as "regimes" and "decinas." Each crew was headed by a "captain," also known as a "skipper," "caporegime" and "capodecina." Each captain's crew consisted of "soldiers" and "associates." The captain was responsible for supervising the criminal activities of his crew and providing the crew with support and protection. In return, the captain often received a share of the crew's earnings.
- 6. Only members of the Bonanno crime family could serve as a boss, underboss, consigliere, captain or soldier. Members of the crime family were referred to on occasion as "goodfellas" or "wiseguys," or as persons who had been "straightened out" or who had their "button." Associates were individuals who were not members of the crime family, but who nonetheless engaged in criminal activity for, and under the protection of, the crime family.
- 7. Many requirements existed before an associate could become a member of the Bonanno crime family. The Commission of La Cosa Nostra from time to time limited the number of new members that could be added to a crime family. An associate was also required to be proposed for membership by an existing crime family member. When the crime family's administration considered the associate worthy of membership, the administration then circulated the proposed associate's name on a list given to other La Cosa Nostra crime families, which the other crime families reviewed and either approved or disapproved. Unless there was an objection to the associate's membership, the crime family then "inducted," or "straightened out," the associate as a member of the crime family in a secret ceremony. During the ceremony, the associate, among other things: swore allegiance

for life to the crime family above all else, even the associate's own family; swore, on penalty of death, never to reveal the crime family's existence, criminal activities and other secrets; and swore to follow all orders issued by the crime family boss, including swearing to commit murder if the boss directed it.

### Methods and Means of the Enterprise

- 8. The principal purpose of the Bonanno crime family was to generate money for its members and associates. This purpose was implemented by members and associates of the Bonanno crime family through various criminal activities, including robbery, extortion, illegal gambling and loansharking. The members and associates of the Bonanno crime family also furthered the enterprise's criminal activities by threatening economic injury and using and threatening to use physical violence, including murder.
- 9. Although the primary purpose of the Bonanno crime family was to generate money for its members and associates, the members and associates at times used the resources of the family to settle personal grievances and vendettas, sometimes with the approval of higher-ranking members of the family. For those purposes, members and associates of the enterprise were asked and expected to carry out, among other crimes, acts of violence, including murder, extortion, robbery and assault.
- 10. The members and associates of the Bonanno crime family engaged in conduct designed to prevent government detection of their identities, their illegal activities and the location of proceeds or evidence of those activities. That conduct included a commitment to murdering persons, particularly members or associates of organized crime families, who were perceived as potential witnesses against members and associates of the enterprise.

criminal activity with members and associates of other organized crime families.

#### The Defendant

12. At various times, the defendant VINCENT ASARO was a member of the administration, a captain, a soldier and an associate within the Bonanno crime family.

#### <u>COUNT ONE</u> (Racketeering Conspiracy)

- 13. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 12 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.
- being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, being a person employed by and associated with the Bonanno crime family, an enterprise engaged in, and the activities of which affected, interstate and foreign commerce, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1962(c), that is, to conduct and participate, directly and indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of that enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1961(1) and 1961(5), consisting of the racketeering acts set forth below. The defendant agreed that a conspirator would commit at least two acts of racketeering in the conduct of the enterprise.

#### RACKETEERING ACT ONE

(Extortionate Extension of Credit Conspiracy/Extortionate Extension of Credit Extortionate Collection of Credit Conspiracy/Extortionate Collection of Credit)

15. The defendant VINCENT ASARO agreed to the commission of the following acts, any one of which alone constitutes Racketeering Act One:

16. On or about and between January 1, 1968 and December 31, 1990, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to make one or more extortionate extensions of credit, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 892(a).

#### B. Extortionate Extension of Credit

17. On or about and between January 1, 1968 and December 31, 1990, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally make one or more extortionate extensions of credit, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 892(a).

#### C. Extortionate Collection of Credit Conspiracy

18. On or about and between January 1, 1968 and December 31, 1990, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to participate in the use of extortionate means to collect and attempt to collect one or more extensions of credit, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 894(a)(1).

#### D. Extortionate Collection of Credit

19. On or about and between January 1, 1968 and December 31, 1990, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally

#### E. State Law Extortion Conspiracy

20. On or about and between January 1, 1968 and December 31, 1990, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to steal property by extortion, in that the defendant and others agreed to obtain property, to wit: United States currency, by compelling and inducing one or more persons to deliver such property by instilling in them a fear that, if the property were not so delivered, one or more persons would (1) cause physical injury to one or more persons in the future and (2) cause damage to property, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 155.40(2), 155.05(2)(e)(i), 155.05(2)(e)(ii) and 105.10.

#### F. State Law Extortion

21. On or about and between January 1, 1968 and December 31, 1990, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally steal property by extortion, in that the defendant and others obtained property, by compelling and inducing one or more persons to deliver such property by instilling in them a fear that, if the property were not so delivered, one or more persons would (1) cause physical injury to one or more persons in the future and (2) cause damage to property, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 155.40(2), 155.05(2)(e)(i), 155.05(2)(e)(ii) and 20.00.

#### RACKETEERING ACT TWO

8

(Murder Conspiracy/Murder/Accessory After the Fact to Murder – Paul Katz)

22. The defendant VINCENT ASARO agreed to the commission of the following acts, any one of which alone constitutes Racketeering Act Two:

#### A. Murder Conspiracy

23. On or about and between December 1, 1969 and December 7, 1969, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to cause the death of another person, to wit: Paul Katz, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 125.25(1) and 105.15.

#### B. Murder

24. On or about and between December 1, 1969 and December 7, 1969, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, with intent to cause the death of Paul Katz, did knowingly and intentionally cause his death, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 125.25(1) and 20.00.

#### C. Accessory After the Fact to Murder

25. On or about and between January 1984 and December 1986, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, knowing that a murder, contrary to New York Penal Law Sections 125.25(1) and 20.00, had been committed, did knowingly and intentionally assist the offender or offenders in order to hinder and prevent the offender's or offenders' prosecution, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 205.50 and 205.65.

(Hobbs Act Robbery Conspiracy/Hobbs Act Robbery/Robbery – Lufthansa Airlines)

26. The defendant VINCENT ASARO agreed to the commission of the following acts, any one of which alone constitutes Racketeering Act Three:

#### A. Hobbs Act Robbery Conspiracy

27. On or about and between November 1, 1978 and September 1, 1979, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to obstruct, delay and affect commerce, and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, by robbery, to wit: the robbery of United States currency and jewelry from one or more employees of Lufthansa Airlines, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951(a).

#### B. Hobbs Act Robbery

28. On or about December 11, 1978, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally obstruct, delay and affect commerce, and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, by robbery, to wit: the robbery of United States currency and jewelry from one or more employees of Lufthansa Airlines, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1951(a) and 2.

#### C. State Law Robbery

29. On or about December 11, 1978, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally steal property by robbery, in that the defendant and others forcibly stole

property, to wit: United States currency and jewelry, from one or more employees of Lufthansa Airlines, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 160.05 and 20.00.

### (Arson Conspiracy/Arson)

30. The defendant VINCENT ASARO agreed to the commission of the following acts, either one of which alone constitutes Racketeering Act Four:

#### A. Arson Conspiracy

31. In or about and between January 1980 and January 1981, both dates being approximate and inclusive, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to damage a building, to wit: 8601 Rockaway Boulevard in Ozone Park, New York, by starting a fire, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 150.10 and 105.10.

#### B. Arson

32. In or about and between January 1980 and January 1981, both dates being approximate and inclusive, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally damage a building, to wit: 8601 Rockaway Boulevard in Ozone Park, New York, by starting a fire, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 150.10 and 20.00.

# RACKETEERING ACT FIVE (Murder Solicitation – John Doe #1)

33. On or about and between January 1, 1983 and December 31, 1985, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York, the defendant VINCENT ASARO did knowingly and intentionally solicit, request, importune and otherwise

attempt to cause another person to cause the death of John Doe #1, an individual whose identity is known to the Grand Jury, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 125.25(1), 100.10 and 20.00.

## RACKETEERING ACT SIX (Attempted Robbery – Armored Car)

34. In or about and between January 1984 and December 1986, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally attempt to steal property by robbery, in that the defendant and others attempted to forcibly steal property, to wit: United States currency, from one or more employees of an armored car business, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 160.05, 110.00 and 20.00.

#### RACKETEERING ACT SEVEN

(Hobbs Act Robbery Conspiracy/Hobbs Act Robbery/Robbery – Federal Express)

35. The defendant VINCENT ASARO agreed to the commission of the following acts, any one of which alone constitutes Racketeering Act Seven:

#### A. Hobbs Act Robbery Conspiracy

36. On or about and between February 1, 1984 and February 27, 1984, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to obstruct, delay and affect commerce, and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, by robbery, to wit: the robbery of gold salts from an employee of Federal Express, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951(a).

37. On or about and between February 1, 1984 and February 27, 1984, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally obstruct, delay and affect commerce, and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, by robbery, to wit: the robbery of gold salts from an employee of Federal Express, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1951(a) and 2.

#### C. State Law Robbery

38. On or about and between February 1, 1984 and February 27, 1984, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally steal property by robbery, in that the defendant and others forcibly stole property, to wit: gold salts, from an employee of Federal Express, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 160.05 and 20.00.

#### RACKETEERING ACT EIGHT

(Hobbs Act Extortion Conspiracy/Hobbs Act Extortion/Extortion Conspiracy- John Doe #2)

39. The defendant VINCENT ASARO agreed to the commission of the following acts, any one of which alone constitutes Racketeering Act Eight:

#### A. Hobbs Act Extortion Conspiracy

40. On or about and between January 1, 1985 and January 1, 1989, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to obstruct, delay and affect commerce, and the movement of articles and

commodities in commerce, by extortion, in that the defendant and others agreed to obtain property, to wit: proceeds from the sale of a pornography business and related properties, from John Doe #2, an individual whose identity is known to the Grand Jury, with his consent, which consent was to be induced by wrongful use of actual and threatened force, violence and fear, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951(a).

#### B. Hobbs Act Extortion

41. On or about and between January 1, 1985 and January 1, 1989, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally obstruct, delay and affect commerce, and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, by extortion, in that the defendant and others obtained property, to wit: proceeds from the sale of a pornography business and related properties, from John Doe #2, with his consent, which consent was induced by wrongful use of actual and threatened force, violence and fear, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1951(a) and 2.

#### C. State Law Extortion Conspiracy

42. On or about and between January 1, 1985 and January 1, 1989, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to steal property by extortion, in that the defendant and others agreed to obtain property, to wit: United States currency, by compelling and inducing John Doe #2 to deliver such property by instilling in him a fear that, if the property were not so delivered, one or more persons would (1) cause physical injury to one or more persons in the future and (2) cause

damage to property, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 155.40(2), 155.05(2)(e)(i), 155.05(2)(e)(ii) and 105.10.

#### RACKETEERING ACT NINE

(Hobbs Act Extortion Conspiracy/Hobbs Act Extortion/Extortion Conspiracy/ Extortion – John Doe #3)

43. The defendant VINCENT ASARO agreed to the commission of the following acts, any one of which alone constitutes Racketeering Act Nine:

#### A. Hobbs Act Extortion Conspiracy

44. On or about and between January 1, 1993 and February 15, 1995, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to obstruct, delay and affect commerce, and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, by extortion, in that the defendant and others agreed to obtain property, to wit: proceeds from an autobody business, from John Doe #3, an individual whose identity is known to the Grand Jury, with his consent, which consent was to be induced by wrongful use of actual and threatened force, violence and fear, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951(a).

#### B. Hobbs Act Extortion

45. On or about and between January 1, 1993 and February 15, 1995, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally obstruct, delay and affect commerce, and the movement of articles and commodities in commerce, by extortion, in that the defendant and others obtained property, to wit: proceeds

from an autobody business, from John Doe #3, with his consent, which consent was induced by wrongful use of actual and threatened force, violence and fear, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1951(a) and 2.

#### C. State Law Extortion Conspiracy

46. On or about and between January 1, 1993 and February 15, 1995, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to steal property by extortion, in that the defendant and others agreed to obtain property, to wit: United States currency, by compelling and inducing John Doe #3 to deliver such property by instilling in him a fear that, if the property were not so delivered, one or more persons would (1) cause physical injury to one or more persons in the future and (2) cause damage to property, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 155.40(2), 155.05(2)(e)(i), 155.05(2)(e)(ii) and 105.10.

#### D. State Law Extortion

47. On or about and between January 1, 1993 and February 15, 1995, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally steal property by extortion, in that the defendant and others obtained property, to wit: United States currency, by compelling and inducing John Doe #3 to deliver such property by instilling in him a fear that, if the property were not so delivered, one or more persons would (1) cause physical injury to one or more persons in the future and (2) cause damage to property, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 155.40(2), 155.05(2)(e)(i), 155.05(2)(e)(ii) and 20.00.

48. In or about and between April 1994 and December 2002, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conduct, finance, manage, supervise, direct and own all or part of an illegal gambling business, to wit: a gambling business involving bookmaking, which operated in violation of the laws of the State of New York, to wit: New York Penal Law Sections 225.05 and 20.00, which involved five or more persons who conducted, financed, managed, supervised, directed and owned all or part of such business and which remained in substantially continuous operation for a period in excess of 30 days and had a gross revenue of at least \$2,000 in any single day, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1955(a) and 2.

# <u>RACKETEERING ACT ELEVEN</u> (Extortion Conspiracy/Extortion – John Doe #4)

49. The defendant VINCENT ASARO agreed to the commission of the following acts, either one of which alone constitutes Racketeering Act Eleven:

#### A. State Law Extortion Conspiracy

50. In or about and between January 2006 and December 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to steal property by extortion, in that the defendant and others agreed to obtain property, to wit: United States currency, by compelling and inducing John Doe #4, an individual whose identity is known to the Grand Jury, to deliver such property by instilling in him a fear that, if the

property were not so delivered, one or more persons would (1) cause physical injury to one or more persons in the future and (2) cause damage to property, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 155.40(2), 155.05(2)(e)(i), 155.05(2)(e)(ii) and 105.10.

#### B. State Law Extortion

51. In or about and between January 2006 and December 2006, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally steal property by extortion, in that the defendant and others obtained property, to wit: United States currency, by compelling and inducing John Doe #4 to deliver such property by instilling in him a fear that, if the property were not so delivered, one or more persons would (1) cause physical injury to one or more persons in the future and (2) cause damage to property, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 155.40(2), 155.05(2)(e)(i), 155.05(2)(e)(ii) and 20.00.

# RACKETEERING ACT TWELVE (Illegal Gambling – Bookmaking)

52. On or about and between September 1, 2007 and March 1, 2008, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conduct, finance, manage, supervise, direct and own all or part of an illegal gambling business, to wit: a gambling business involving bookmaking, which operated in violation of the laws of the State of New York, to wit: New York Penal Law Sections 225.05 and 20.00, which involved five or more persons who conducted, financed, managed, supervised, directed and owned all or part of such business and which remained in substantially continuous operation

for a period in excess of 30 days and had a gross revenue of at least \$2,000 in any single day, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1955(a) and 2.

### RACKETEERING ACT THIRTEEN (Extortion – John Doe #5)

53. On or about and between October 1, 2010 and November 4, 2010, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally steal property by extortion, in that the defendant and others obtained property, to wit: United States currency, by compelling and inducing John Doe #5, an individual whose identity is known to the Grand Jury, to deliver such property by instilling in him a fear that, if the property were not so delivered, one or more persons would (1) cause physical injury to one or more persons in the future and (2) cause damage to property, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 155.40(2), 155.05(2)(e)(i), 155.05(2)(e)(ii) and 20.00.

#### RACKETEERING ACT FOURTEEN

(Extortionate Collection of Credit Conspiracy/ Extortionate Collection of Credit – John Doe #6)

54. The defendant VINCENT ASARO agreed to the commission of the following acts, any one of which alone constitutes Racketeering Act Fourteen:

#### A. <u>Extortionate Collection of Credit Conspiracy</u>

55. On or about and between March 1, 2013 and June 30, 2013, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to participate in the use of extortionate means to collect and attempt to collect one or more extensions of credit from one or more individuals, to wit: John Doe #6, an individual whose

identity is known to the Grand Jury, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 894(a)(1).

#### B. Extortionate Collection of Credit

56. On or about and between March 1, 2013 and June 30, 2013, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally participate in the use of extortionate means to collect and attempt to collect one or more extensions of credit from one or more individuals, to wit: John Doe #6, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 894(a)(1) and 2.

#### C. State Law Extortion Conspiracy

57. On or about and between March 1, 2013 and June 30, 2013, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to steal property by extortion, in that the defendant and others agreed to obtain property, to wit: United States currency, by compelling and inducing John Doe #6 to deliver such property by instilling in him a fear that, if the property were not so delivered, one or more persons would (1) cause physical injury to one or more persons in the future and (2) cause damage to property, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 155.40(2), 155.05(2)(e)(i), 155.05(2)(e)(ii) and 105.10.

#### D. State Law Extortion

58. On or about and between March 1, 2013 and June 30, 2013, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the

defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally steal property by extortion, in that the defendant and others obtained property, to wit: United States currency, by compelling and inducing John Doe #6 to deliver such property by instilling in him a fear that, if the property were not so delivered, one or more persons would (1) cause physical injury to one or more persons in the future and (2) cause damage to property, in violation of New York Penal Law Sections 155.40(2), 155.05(2)(e)(i), 155.05(2)(e)(ii) and 20.00.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1962(d), 1963 and 3551 et seq.)

#### COUNT TWO

(Extortionate Collection of Credit Conspiracy – John Doe #6)

59. On or about and between March 1, 2013 and June 30, 2013, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to participate in the use of extortionate means to collect and attempt to collect one or more extensions of credit from one or more individuals, to wit: John Doe #6.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 894(a)(1) and 3551 et seq.)

### COUNT THREE

(Extortionate Collection of Credit – John Doe #6)

60. On or about and between March 1, 2013 and June 30, 2013, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant VINCENT ASARO, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally participate in the use of extortionate means to collect and attempt to collect one or more extensions of credit from one or more individuals, to wit: John Doe #6.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 894(a)(1), 2 and 3551 et seg.)

- 61. The United States hereby gives notice to the defendant that, upon his conviction of the offense charged in Count One, the government will seek forfeiture in accordance with Title 18, United States Code, Section 1963, which requires any person convicted of such offenses to forfeit: (a) any interest acquired or maintained in violation of such offense; (b) any interest in, security of, claims against, or property or contractual right of any kind affording a source of influence over, any enterprise which the defendant established, operated, controlled, conducted or participated in the conduct of, in violation of such offense; and (c) any property constituting, or derived from, any proceeds which the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, from racketeering activity or unlawful debt collection in violation of such offenses.
- 62. If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:
  - (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
  - (b) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
  - (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
  - (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or
- (e) has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1963(m),

to seek forfeiture of any other property of the defendant up to the value of the forfeitable property.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1963(a) and 1963(m))

### CRIMINAL FORFEITURE ALLEGATION AS TO COUNTS TWO AND THREE

- 63. The United States hereby gives notice to the defendant that, upon his conviction of either of the offenses charged in Counts Two and Three, the government will seek forfeiture in accordance with Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), which require any person convicted of such offenses to forfeit any property, real or personal, constituting or derived from proceeds traceable to such offenses.
- 64. If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:
  - (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
  - (b) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
  - (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
  - (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or
- (e) has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to

seek forfeiture of any other property of the defendant up to the value of the forfeitable property.

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C); Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p); Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c))

A TRUE BILL

23

LORETTA E. LYNCH UNITED STATES ATTORNEY EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

> ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY PURSUANT TO 28 C.F.R. 0.136

F. #2014R00055 FORM DBD-34 JUN. 85

No.

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN District of NEW YORK

# CRIMINAL DIVISION THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

#### VINCENT ASARO,

Defendant.

### **SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT**

(T. 18, U.S.C.,	, §§ 894(a)(1),	981(a)(1)(C),	1962(d), 19	963, 1963(a),	1963(m), 2
and 3551	et seq.; T. 21	, U.S.C., § 853	3(p); T. 28,	U.S.C., § 246	51(c))

Strue bill. Mac Wille	an-Puchase	7 Foreperson
Filed in open court this	day,	Тогородог
of A.D. 20		
		Clerk
Bail, \$		

AUSAs Nicole M. Argentieri and Alicyn Cooley, (718) 254-6232/6389